Pupil premium strategy statement 2018-2019

1. Summary information						
School	Cranwell Primary School					
Academic Year	2018-9	Expected Total PP budget £34,320		Date of most recent PP Review	Nov 18	
Total number of pupils	320	Number of pupils eligible for PP	26	Date for next internal review of this strategy	July 19	

2. C	urrent attainment						
	Based on July 18 KS2 Results (5children)	School	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)				
% acl	nieving expected in reading, writing & maths	80%	64%				
pro	ogress in reading	+3.0	0				
pro	ogress in writing	-3.3	0				
pro	ogress in maths	-0.9	0				
3. B	arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)						
In-scl	hool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral la	nguage skills)					
A.	Long term PP pupils perform less well in maths particularly with regard to mental maths skills and number bonding.						
B.	Progress in writing appears to be weaker primarily due to spelling issues.						
C.	Many of our PP children also fall within the SEND cohort and we must continue to show good progress from their starting points						
D.	Behaviour issues with boys – linked to their SEND needs						
E	xternal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, suc	ch as low attendance rate	s)				
D. Many of our Ever 6 pupils are mobile and join the school in year 5 and 6							
Some families of PP children are involved with other agencies such as TAC/CIN/Social Services.							
	Some families struggle to provide finance for their children to access extra- curricular learning experiences.						
Communication and support is required to ensure that parents can support their children with homework activities.							

4.	Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria
A.	80% Yr6 children (PP and Ever6) to meet the expected standard or GD in SATS,	ALL PP Year 6 children to have positive progress scores in all subjects.
	End of year mental maths scores to have increased to 80% of PP children meeting expected standard when compared to the Sept baseline (Excluding SEND children)	All PP children meeting target
B.	All children have equal access to learning opportunities outside of the school environment	Children are included in voluntary visits and music/sport opportunities
C.	Progress for SEND children who are also PP improves. Phonics support is required for some Yr2 children to ensure they pass the phonics screening at the end of the year.	Progress for SEND PP pupils is in line with or exceeding national average.
D.	Behavioural and friendship issues are addressed. Children have access to emotional/pastoral support groups and are supported by the Pastoral Support workers	Incident logs show a decline in the numbers of incidents

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year

2018-2019

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Improve overall standards in maths	TA support in class for each PP child	Data shows that some PP (L/T) children are not making as much progress in maths	TAs and support staff are allocated to each class.	Head Deputy Head	July 2019
New spelling programme is embedded and PP children are supported outside of school with homework	TA support in class for each PP child	Progress in writing is weaker due to spelling skills	TA support in class. Tracking of progress	Head Deputy Head	July 2019

			Total bu	29,877	
ii. Targeted supp	ort				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Progress for PP pupils increases and is in line or above national average	Dedicated intervention group for maths, reading and writing	In the past we have provided intervention which has resulted in good progress	2 Experienced TAs are employed for 3 afternoons a week to deliver intervention programmes	SC	End of spring term.
SEN PP children progress scores improve	Dedicated groups	To offer more personalised learning particularly to KS1 children	TA and SENCo taking Wave 3 groups. New SEND governor appointed to monitor progress alongside SLT and assessment coordinators	NO/SC	Termly review meetings
Parents and PP children are supported by a pastoral support worker	Emotional and pastoral support groups	Communication has proved to be vital in developing the relationships between home and school	Dedicated time for SENDco and pastoral support role	NO/SB	Termly review meetings
			Total bu	dgeted cost	3643
iii. Other approac	hes				
Desired outcome	Chosen action /	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you review
	approach	rationale for this choice?	implemented well?		implementation?
Pupil Premium Children are not denied access to learning opportunities due to financial reasons	A certain percentage of funding supports children in extra-curricular activities	Opportunities outside of the classroom enrich children's life experience and gives them a platform from which they access classroom learning	All stakeholders communicate. Governor approval	Headteacher SLT Class teachers SBM/Admin	On-going Finance Governors' meetings
		,	Total bu	dgeted cost	800

Previous Academic Year		2017-2018 Total 32,339				
i. Quality of teac		2011 2010 10181 02,000				
Desired outcome	Chosen action	Estimated impact: Did you meet the Lessons learned				
	/ approach	success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	(and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost		
Improve overall standards in writing	2hrs TA support for each class	Data shows that progress in writing has improved for PP children. Only one Yr6 pupil did not achieve the expected standard in writing and this was due to SEND issues.	TAs and support staff are allocated to each class. Intervention groups are in place particularly for those PP children with SEND. Pupil Progress meetings closely monitor PP children. This approach will be continued next year	£17,559		
ii. Targeted supp	ort					
Desired outcome	Chosen action	Estimated impact: Did you meet the	Lessons learned	Cost		
	/ approach	success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	(and whether you will continue with this approach)			
Progress in maths for PP children increases	Dedicated intervention group for maths	100% of yr6 pupils met the expected standard in maths. Progress was poor in one child, however there are specific reasons for this.	Maths Intervention groups for PP pupils is successful and will be continued next year.	£10,620		
		In the past we have provided intervention for less able mathematicians which has resulted in good progress				
Progress increases for all SEND children (particularly those PP children	Targeted progress in all IEPs Intervention groups	Data shows that attainment for our SEND children is above national average.	SENCo to monitor and oversee Wave 3 support Provision for SEND children needs to be personalised			
Other approach	ies					

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
PP children are more engaged with homework activities	Review of Homework Policy and purchase of maths on-line software	Results from Parent Questionnaires and Parents Forum show that pupils have a better attitude towards homework.	Homework club motivates children and allows them access to the on-line software that the school has bought to engage learners particularly in maths.	£4160
Pupil Premium Children are not denied access to learning opportunities due to financial reasons	A certain percentage of funding supports children in extracurricular activities	Children have had access to music lessons, residential trips and other extra- curricular activities and resources that families cannot afford.	Opportunities outside of the classroom enrich children's life experience and gives them a platform from which they access classroom learning. Children are not distinguished due to family financial restraints	

7. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to support the sections above.

Approved by Governors November 2018